

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- x  
LINDA SMITH

Case No.: 08-CV-4337 (AKH)

Plaintiff,

**ANSWER**

-against-

GATEWAY SECURITY SERVICE, INC.,

Defendant.  
----- x

Defendant, Gateway Security Service, Inc. (“Defendant”), by and through its attorneys, Sapir & Frumkin LLP, hereby files its Answer to the Complaint of the Plaintiff Linda Smith (“Plaintiff”).

Unless specifically admitted, Defendant denies each and every factual allegation set forth in the Complaint asserted by Plaintiff. In response to the Complaint, the Defendant states as follows:

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. The allegations in paragraph 1 contain legal conclusions for which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent that the allegations contained in this paragraph make reference to factual matters, the Defendant denies the allegations made therein.

2. The allegations in paragraph 2 contain legal conclusions for which no responsive pleading is required.

3. The allegations in paragraph 3 contain legal conclusions for which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent that the allegations contained in this paragraph make reference to factual matters, the Defendant denies the allegations made therein.

4. The allegations in paragraph 4 contain legal conclusions for which no responsive pleading is required.

5. The allegations in paragraph 5 contain legal conclusions for which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent that the allegations contained in this paragraph make reference to factual matters, the Defendant denies the allegations made therein.

6. To the extent that the allegations set forth in paragraph 6 make reference to a written document, that document speaks for itself. To the extent that the allegations set forth in paragraph 6 contain opinions or legal conclusions, no responsive pleading is required. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in paragraph 6.

7. Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in paragraph 7.

#### **PARTIES**

8. Defendant admits that Plaintiff was employed by Gateway as a security guard/doorperson at 263 West 112<sup>th</sup> Street, New York, New York. The remaining factual allegations set forth in paragraph 8 are denied.

9. Defendant admits that Plaintiff was the only female employed by Gateway at the subject location where Plaintiff worked. The remaining factual allegations set forth in paragraph 9 are denied.

10. Defendant admits the allegations set forth in paragraph 10.

11. Defendant admits the allegations set forth in paragraph 11.

12. Defendant admits the allegations set forth in paragraph 12.

13. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 13.

14. The allegations in paragraph 14 contain legal conclusions for which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent that the allegations contained in this paragraph make reference to factual matters, the Defendant denies the allegations made therein.

15. Defendant admits that it has provided and/or is currently providing services to entities in the State of Connecticut, including CVS Pharmacies in West Hartford and other cities and towns in Connecticut. The remaining factual allegations set forth in paragraph 15 are denied.

16. Defendant admits that it has provided and/or is currently providing services to entities in the State of New. The remaining factual allegations set forth in paragraph 16 are denied.

### **FACTS**

17. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 17.

18. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 18.

19. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 19.

20. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 20.

21. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 21.

22. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 22.

23. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 23.

24. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 24.

25. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 25.

26. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 26.

27. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 27.

28. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 28.

29. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 29.

30. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 30.

31. Defendant admits that on December 27, 2008, the building behind 263 West 112<sup>th</sup> Street collapsed. The remaining factual allegations set forth in paragraph 31 are denied.

32. Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in paragraph 32.

33. Defendant admits the allegations set forth in paragraph 33.

34. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 34.

35. Defendant admits the allegations set forth in paragraph 35.

36. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 36.

**AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

37. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 37.

38. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 38.

39. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 39.

**AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

40. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 40.

41. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 41.

42. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 42.

**AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

43. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 43.

44. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 44.

45. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 45.

**AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

- 46. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 46.
- 47. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 47.
- 48. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 48.

**AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

- 49. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 49.
- 50. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 50.
- 51. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 51.

**AS AND FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

- 52. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 52.
- 53. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 53.
- 54. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 54.

**AS AND FOR A SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

- 55. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 55.

**AS AND FOR AN EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

- 56. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 56.

**ON THE FIRST AND FOURTH CAUSES OF ACTION**

Paragraphs (a) through (h) of the Complaint contain a description of relief sought, to which no response is required. To the extent a response was required, Defendant denies that there is any basis in fact or law to warrant such relief.

**ON THE SECOND AND FIFTH CAUSES OF ACTION**

Paragraph (i) of the Complaint contains a description of relief sought, to which no response

is required. To the extent a response was required, Defendant denies that there is any basis in fact or law to warrant such relief.

**ON THE THIRD, SIXTH AND EIGHTH CAUSES OF ACTION**

Paragraphs (j) through (l) of the Complaint contain a description of relief sought, to which no response is required. To the extent a response was required, Defendant denies that there is any basis in fact or law to warrant such relief.

**ON THE SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

Paragraphs (m) through (o) of the Complaint contain a description of relief sought, to which no response is required. To the extent a response was required, Defendant denies that there is any basis in fact or law to warrant such relief.

**SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

**FIRST DEFENSE**

The Complaint, in whole or in part, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

**SECOND DEFENSE**

Plaintiff is estopped and barred by her own conduct from recovering any relief.

**THIRD DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statute of limitations.

**FOURTH DEFENSE**

All actions undertaken with respect to Plaintiff were non-discriminatory, based on sound business judgment, were neither arbitrary nor capricious, and were undertaken in good faith and in compliance with all applicable laws.

**FIFTH DEFENSE**

Upon information and belief, Plaintiff is barred from relief due to her failure to mitigate damages.

Defendant reserves the right to raise other affirmative and other defenses that may subsequently become or appear applicable to some or all of Plaintiff's causes of action.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant respectfully requests that this Court enter a judgment:

1. Dismissing the Complaint with prejudice;
2. Directing Plaintiff to pay Defendant the costs to defend this action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and interest; and
3. Awarding such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
July 10, 2008

Yours, etc.,

**SAPIR & FRUMKIN LLP**

By: /s/ William D. Frumkin  
William D. Frumkin (WF 2173)  
Sapir & Frumkin LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Gateway Security Service, Inc.  
399 Knollwood Road, Suite 310  
White Plains, New York 10603  
(914) 328-0366

To: Jeffrey B. Melcer, Esq.  
The Law Offices of Jeffrey B. Melcer, PLLC  
150 East 58<sup>th</sup> Street, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10155

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on July 10, 2008, a copy of Defendant's Answer was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent by operation of the Court's electronic filing system to all parties indicated on the electronic filing receipt including:

Jeffrey B. Melcer, Esq.  
The Law Offices of Jeffrey B. Melcer, PLLC  
150 East 58<sup>th</sup> Street, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10155

Parties may access this filing through the Court's electronic filing system

/s/ Rachel L. Horton  
Rachel L. Horton